Case 1:08-cv-01428-AKH	Document 1	Filed 02/04/2008	Page 1 of 11	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	K			
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)		
GERARD STAPLES		DOCKET NO.		
Plai	ntiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SH COMPLAINT RELATED TO THI MASTER COMPLA	E	
- against -				
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY	
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,				
Defe	endants.			
By Order of the Honorable Alv. 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master C		•	0 '	
	NOTICE OF	ADOPTION		
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked with an '\(\vec{\su}\)' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.				

Plaintiffs, GERARD STAPLES, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

	orry Voels roadding of 6'/ Sylvy	on Troil Monroe MV 1	10050
and a chizen of iv	ew York residing at 67 Sylv		10930
		(OR)	
2. Alt	ernatively, \square	is the	of Decedent
	_, and brings this claim in hi	s (her) capacity as of th	ne Estate of
	_,	` / 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Ca	se 1.06-cv-01426-AKH Documen	it i Filed 02/04/2006 Page 2 01 11		
3.		(hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a		
		, and has the following relationship to the		
Injured Plaint	SPOUSE at all relevant times	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ngs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the and (his wife), Plaintiff		
	☐ Parent ☐ Child ☐	Other:		
4. Police Depart	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 10/10 tment (NYPD) as a Captain at:	1/2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York		
1	Please be as specific as possible when f	illing in the following dates and locations		
Location(s) (i	d Trade Center Site i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)bout 9/11/2001 until 10/10/2001; ly 13 hours per day; for	The Barge From on or about; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.		
	ly 15 days total.	□ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at		
☐ The New From on or al Approximate! Approximate!	York City Medical Examiner's Office bout, hours per day; for ly days total.	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:		
	Kills Landfill	From on or about;		
Approximatel Approximatel	bout until; ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:		
		aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.		
5.	Injured Plaintiff			
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated		
	Was exposed to and inhaled or ingested toxic substances and particulates on all dates at the site(s) indicated above;			
	✓ Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at		
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.			

6.

Injure	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	✓ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC. ☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
☐ More than thirty days have passed and the City has not adjusted the claim	
, and the second	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
(OR)	
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS, INC.
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a determination	CORP
	□ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
is pending	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC. ☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
□ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"] ☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
	NEW YORK, INC.
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted tills claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC ☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC □ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC ☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL
LI / WORLD IRADE COMPANI, L.F.	LIC VAINS EN VIRONIVIEN LAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

OTHER: _

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
□ Non-WTC Site Lessee	•
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:08-cv-01428-AKH Document 1 Filed 02/04/2008 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ☑; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):; ☐ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.				
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION		
of lial law:	Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:				
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation		
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided 		
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined		
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death		
			Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff		
		lп	Other:		

Case 1:08-cv-01428-AKH Document 1 Filed 02/04/2008 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
	Respiratory Injury: Respiratory Problems; Sinus and/or Nasal Problems Date of onset: 6/15/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 6/15/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
V	Digestive Injury: GERD Date of onset: 6/15/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	V	Other Injury: Sleep Apnea - no CPAP; Sleep Problems Date of onset: 6/15/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

dama	ages:
<u></u>	Pain and suffering
√	Loss of the enjoyment of life
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity
✓	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation
V	Other: ✓ Mental anguish ✓ Disability ✓ Medical monitoring ✓ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

 $Plaintiff(s) \ demands \ that \ all \ issues \ of \ fact \ in \ this \ case \ be \ tried \ before \ a \ properly \ empanelled \ jury.$

Dated: New York, New York January 31, 2008

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Gerard Staples

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York

January 31, 2008

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
GERARD STAPLES,	
Plaintiff(s) - against -	
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	
Defendant(s).	
SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT	:===
WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700	
To Attorney(s) for	
Service of a copy of the within	
is hereby admitted. Dated,	
Attorney(s) for	
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:	===
 NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20 NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true of will be presented for settlement to the HON.	
will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the	he
within named Court, at on20 atM.	
Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLE	P